

**NOT FOR CITATION**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
EUREKA DIVISION

JORGE R. QUEZADA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CON-WAY, INC.,

Defendant.

No. 09-CV-03670 JSW (NJV)

**ORDER REQUIRING PARTIES TO  
MEET AND CONFER REGARDING  
PENDING DISCOVERY DISPUTE**

(Docket No. 94)

On November 27, 2012, Plaintiff filed a motion for an order compelling further responses to deposition questions. (Docket no. 94.) Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

- 1) Counsel for both parties SHALL engage in a meaningful, in-person meet and confer no later than Monday, December 10, 2012, regarding the pending discovery dispute. Given counsels' diverse locations, counsel may participate by telephone. Counsel shall notify the court's courtroom deputy, Gloria Masterson (Gloria\_Masterson@cand.uscourts.gov), by email of the scheduled date for the in-person meet and confer.
- 2) Any party refusing to meet and confer will be sanctioned.
- 3) After the in-person meet and confer, the parties shall promptly file a single joint letter brief to the court informing the court of the status of the in-person meet and confer. The letter brief shall be limited to five pages, with no more than ten pages of exhibits, to be shared equally between the parties. If the parties are unable to resolve their discovery dispute after

the in-person meet and confer, the single joint letter brief, no longer than five pages, shall succinctly set forth the parties' positions on each disputed issue.

4) Plaintiff's motion is TAKEN OFF CALENDAR and no response to it shall be filed at this time.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED: December 3, 2012



Nandor J. Vadas  
United States Magistrate Judge